EBRI
EMPLOYEE
BENEFIT
RESEARCH
INSTITUTE®

What Does It Mean to be "Insured"?

Paul Fronstin, Ph.D.
Director, Health Research & Education Program
Employee Benefit Research Institute

UMBC
The Changing Health Insurance Market

Copyright© - Employee Benefit Research Institute Education and Research Fund, 1978-2006. All rights reserved.

The information contained herein is not to be construed as an attempt to provide legal, accounting, actuarial, or other such professional advice. Permission to copy or print a personal use copy of this material is hereby granted and brief quotations for the purposes of news reporting and education are permitted. Otherwise, no part of this material may be used or reproduced without permission in writing from EBRI-ERF.

EBRI
Employee Benefit Research Institute

Premiums Rising 4-5 Times Faster than Inflation and Wages, 1988-2005



Source: KFF/HRET and Bureau of Labor Statistics.

EBRI
Employee
Benefit
Research
Institute®

Average Worker Monthly Contribution, 1988-2005



Source: KFF/HRET.

EBRI
Employee Benefit Research Institute

Percentage of Premium Paid by Covered Workers, 1988-2005



Source: KFF/HRET.

EBRI
EMPLOYEE BENEFIT RESEARCH INSTITUTE®

Average Annual Deductibles for Employee-Only Coverage, 1996-2005

(Among Covered Workers With or Without a Deductible)



Source: KFF/HRET.

EBRI
Employee Benefit Research Institute

Physician Office Visit Co-Payments, 2004-2005

(Among Covered Workers With a Co-payment)



Source: KFF/HRET.

EBRI Employee Benefit Research Institute

Average Co-Pay for Drugs, 2000-2005



Source: KFF/HRET.

EBRI
Employee Benefit Research Institute

Drug Plan Incentives for PPO, Firms with 1,000 or More Employees, 1998 & 2003

Generic Incentive	1998	2003
Lower co-payment	45%	69%
No deductible	1%	<1%
Higher coinsurance	10%	6%
Pay difference between generic & brand name	6%	10%

Source: Hewitt Associates.

EBRI
EMPLOYEE BENEFIT RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Drug Plan Incentives for PPO, Firms with 1,000 or More Employees, 1998 & 2003

Mail Order Incentive	1998	2003
Lower co-payment	31%	67%
No deductible	12%	8%
Higher coinsurance	21%	14%

Source: Hewitt Associates.

EBRI
Employee Benefit Research Institute

Drug Plan Incentives for PPO, Firms with 1,000 or More Employees, 1998 & 2003

Combination of Generic and Mail Order Incentive	1998	2003
Lower co-payment	32%	78%
Higher coinsurance	1%	1%
Pay difference between generic & brand name	2%	6%
No Generic or Mail Order Incentive	22%	6%

Source: Hewitt Associates.

Tiered Provider Networks (TPNs)

- Hospitals & doctors.
- Tiers vary with cost & quality.
  - Similar to PPO (in vs. out)
  - Similar to Rx tiers.
- Cost sharing distinctions
  - Co-payment per hospital day.
  - Coinsurance rate per stay.
  - Overall deductible per stay.

Use of Tiered Physician or Hospital Networks, 2005



Source: KFF/HRET.

EBRI
Employee Benefit Research Institute®

Consumerism: Potentials & Concerns

Potentials

- Lower costs
  - Reduction in use
  - Use of lower cost services
- Better engaged consumer
- More satisfied consumer
- Better health outcomes/more appropriate care
- Improve affordability

Concerns

- Low health literacy
  - Reduce necessary care
  - Induce demand for unnecessary care
- Lack of tools & resources to make decisions
- Impact on high cost users uncertain
- One-time savings

EBRI
Employee Benefit Research Institute®

Evidence So Far

Full Replacement HRA Study
(McKinsey & Company, 2005)

- CDHP consumers are more engaged than "traditionally insured" in decision making
- Make decisions that *may* drive sustained decline in trend
  - Forego less serious care
  - Shop for most cost effective care when they can
  - Take greater responsibility for health and wellness
- Seek information to compare treatments, not providers
- Are no more likely than employees in traditional plans to seek quality info
- Are less satisfied than with previous plans

EBRI
EMPLOYEE BENEFIT RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Evidence So Far

Aetna Study: Medical Claims

2003: 3.7% YOY Increase

Change in utilization

- Inpatient -5.2%
- ER Visits -2.6%
- Outpatient -14.4%
- Office visits -3.3%
  - PCP -10.9%
  - Specialist +3.4%

2004: 6% YOY Increase

Change in utilization

- Inpatient -6.7%
- ER Visits -15.9%
- Outpatient -4.6%
- Office visits -3.4%
  - PCP -12.3%
  - Specialist +3.6%

EBRI EMPLOYEE BENEFIT RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Evidence So Far

Aetna Study: Pharmacy

- Overall Cost Increase
  - CDHP +13%
  - PPO +18%
- Generic Use
  - CDHP +2.1%
  - PPO +1.3%
- Mail Order
  - CDHP +3.5%
  - PPO +1.7%

EBRI Employee Benefit Research Institute

CIGNA Choice Fund Study Key Findings



Eight percent decrease in total medical (non-pharmacy) costs



Increase in medications that support chronic conditions



Eight percent increase in medication supply



Decrease in inpatient and outpatient costs



Increase in inpatient admits

EBRI
Employee Benefit Research Institute®

Evidence So Far

- Risk Selection
  - Humana data studied by Kaiser: based on prior use and prior claims, HDHP enrollees usage was 50-60% below those not choosing HDHP
  - U. of Oregon study: selectivity related to education, income, health status
- Cost savings actions
  - U. of Oregon study: only difference related to generic drug substitution
- BCBSA Study

EBRI
Employee
Benefit
Research
Institute®

EBRI/Commonwealth Fund Consumerism in Health Care Survey

- Among adults with plans, lower satisfaction with quality of care, out-of-pocket costs, plan overall; few would recommend plan to friends/co-workers
- High out-of-pocket costs + premiums amount to substantial share of income, especially among those with lower income and health problems
- No differences in service use, but higher reported rates of cost-related delays, avoidance, or skipping care or Rx, esp. lower income and health problems
- More cost-conscious decision making behavior
- Little quality/cost information provided by plans

EBRI
Employee Benefit Research Institute®

Implications of Consumerism

- Lack of choice can drive backlash
- CDHP/HDHP more likely than comprehensive to report that they delayed or avoided needed care due to costs
  - Impact on health status unknown
- Only 15% report information on cost and quality of providers is available
  - CDHP/HDHP more likely to use it when available
- CDHP/HDHP more likely than comprehensive to exhibit cost conscious decision making

EBRI Employee Benefit Research Institute®

Percentage of Adults who Agree that Terms of Coverage Make Them Consider Cost When Deciding to Seek Health Care Services



Note: Comprehensive = plan w/ no deductible or <$1000 (ind), <$2000 (fam); HDHP = plan w/ deductible $1000+ (ind), $2000+ (fam), no account; CDHP = plan w/ deductible $1000+ (ind), $2000+ (fam), w/ account.

*Difference between HDHP/CDHP and Comprehensive is statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$ or better.

Source: EBRI/Commonwealth Fund Consumerism in Health Care Survey, 2005.

EBRI
Employee Benefit Research Institute

Cost Conscious Decision-Making, by Insurance Source



Note: Comprehensive = plan w/ no deductible or <$1000 (ind), <$2000 (fam); HDHP = plan w/ deductible $1000+ (ind), $2000+ (fam), no account; CDHP = plan w/ deductible $1000+ (ind), $2000+ (fam), w/ account.

*Difference between HDHP/CDHP and Comprehensive is statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$ or better.

Source: EBRI/Commonwealth Fund Consumerism in Health Care Survey, 2005.

Annual Claims Distribution

Adults Ages 18-64, 2001



Source: EBRI estimates from the 2001 MEPS.

EBRI Employee Benefit Research Institute

15 Most Costly Conditions Account for Over 50% of Spending

Heart disease	9%
Trauma	7%
Cancer	6%
Pulmonary conditions	6%
Mental disorders	5%
Hypertension	4%
Diabetes	3%
Arthritis	3%
Back problems	3%
Cerebrovascular disease	2%
Pneumonia	2%
Skin disorders	2%
Endocrine	2%
Infectious disease	2%
Kidney	1%
Total spending	**56%**

EBRI Employee Benefit Research Institute®

Asheville Project

(J. of Amer. Pharma Assoc., 2003)

- No cost meetings with pharmacists
  - Education, home meter training, physical assessments
- Co-payments for diabetes-specific drugs and supplies were waived

Asheville Project
Direct Medical Costs Over Time



EBRI
EMPLOYEE BENEFIT RESEARCH INSTITUTE®

Asheville Project
Ave. Annual Sick Days Among Diabetics



EBRI
EMPLOYEE
BENEFIT
RESEARCH
INSTITUTE®

Cholesterol Lowering Drugs

- Recent Rand study, Journal of Managed Care
- Increase in co-payment from $10 to $20 associated with a 6-10 percentage point reduction in compliance.
- Full compliance associated with 357 fewer hospitalizations in sample studied.
- Elimination of co-payments for certain patients would avert 80,000 hospitalizations and 31,000 ER visits nationally.
- National savings would be more than $1 billion.